S-Adenosyl-L-Methionine Salvage Impacts Psilocybin Formation in "Magic" Mushrooms

Richard Demmler, Janis Fricke, Sebastian Dörner, Markus Gressler,* and Dirk Hoffmeister*^[a]

Psychotropic *Psilocybe* mushrooms biosynthesize their principal natural product psilocybin in five steps, among them a phosphotransfer and two methyltransfer reactions, which consume one equivalent of 5'-adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and two equivalents of S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM). This short but co-substrate-intensive pathway requires nucleoside cofactor salvage to maintain high psilocybin production rates. We characterized the adenosine kinase (AdoK) and S-adenosyl-L-homo-

cysteine (SAH) hydrolase (SahH) of *Psilocybe cubensis*. Both enzymes are directly or indirectly involved in regenerating SAM. qRT-PCR expression analysis revealed an induced expression of the genes in the fungal primordia and carpophores. A one-pot in vitro reaction with the *N*-methyltransferase PsiM of the psilocybin pathway demonstrates a concerted action with SahH to facilitate biosynthesis by removal of accumulating SAH.

Introduction

The principal natural product of psychotropic "magic" mushrooms is psilocybin (Scheme 1), first isolated by Albert Hofmann and colleagues.^[1] It has prodrug character as it represents the stable precursor of the dephospho analogue psilocin (Scheme 1), the actual neuroactive compound. Psilocybin is under consideration in advanced clinical trials as a pharmaceutical to treat therapy-resistant depression.^[2] In pioneering work, a biosynthetic cascade was suggested.^[3] Recently, the corresponding genetic locus and the enzymes were identified.^[4] Psilocybin biosynthesis requires four enzymes (Scheme 1): L-tryptophan decarboxylation and hydroxylation at C-4 are catalyzed by the decarboxylase PsiD and the monooxygenase PsiH, respectively, to form 4-hydroxytryptamine. Subsequently, the 5'-adenosine triphosphate (ATP)-dependent 5methylthioribokinase-like enzyme PsiK phosphorylates 4-hydroxytryptamine.^[4a] The biosynthesis is completed by the methyltransferase PsiM which installs the tertiary amine and requires two equivalents of S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM). Psilocybin titers can reach up to 2% of the fungal dry biomass.^[5]

 [a] R. Demmler, J. Fricke, S. Dörner, Dr. M. Gressler, Prof. Dr. D. Hoffmeister Department Pharmaceutical Microbiology, Hans-Knöll-Institute Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Beutenbergstrasse 11a, 07745 Jena (Germany) E-mail: markus.gressler@leibniz-hki.de dirk.hoffmeister@leibniz-hki.de

Supporting information and the ORCID identification numbers for the authors of this article can be found under https://doi.org/10.1002/ cbic.201900649.

This article is part of a Special Collection on Microbial Biosynthesis and Interactions. To view the complete collection, visit our homepage

Consequently, SAM must be efficiently regenerated to keep up the high psilocybin production rates. Hence, we expected 1) enzymes of the SAM salvage cycle and 2) adenosine kinase (AdoK) that supports this cycle to be critical for psilocybin biosynthesis (Scheme 1). Adenosine kinases catalyze the phosphorylation of adenosine (Ado) to adenosyl-5'-monophosphat (AMP) using ATP as phosphate donor^[6] and they play an intrinsic role in purine salvage metabolism, primarily to regenerate Ado to AMP, eventually to provide new ATP in a three-step reaction (Scheme 1).^[7] Most importantly, they are indirectly involved in the SAM regeneration system as well.^[8]

The methyl donor SAM is regenerated by the concerted action of three enzymes: S-adenosyl-L-homocysteine hydrolase (SahH) cleaves SAH to release Ado (the AdoK substrate) and Lhomocysteine (HCy), which is subsequently methylated to Lmethionine (L-Met) by a 5'-methyltetrahydrofolate-dependent L-methionine synthase (MetS). Lastly, L-Met is adenosylated by SAM synthetase (SamS) to complete the regeneration cycle. Typically, SahH enzymes are homotetramers that noncovalently bind nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD⁺) via an N-terminal domain.^[9] The enzymatic cleavage of SAH requires NAD⁺-dependent oxidation/re-reduction steps.^[10] In vitro, the equilibrium of the SahH reaction favors the synthetic direction, yet the hydrolytic direction is supported by continuous enzymatic removal of Ado under physiological conditions.^[11] Ado, 2'-deoxy-Ado and adenine (Ade) derivatives bind tightly to SahH, and accumulation of these compounds inhibits its hydrolytic activity.^[12] Hence, removal of Ado by AdoK is likely to maintain SahH's activity. Collectively, both AdoK and SahH activity is required to provide sufficient methyl donor to dimethylate norbaeocystin to psilocybin (Scheme 1).

Herein we report the characterization of AdoK and SahH from the psilocybin producer *Psilocybe cubensis*. Kinetic parameters and substrate specificities were investigated. In addition,



Scheme 1. Nucleoside cofactor metabolism related to psilocybin biosynthesis by the action of PsiD, PsiH, PsiK, and PsiM (violet box). During this process, PsiM demethylates two equivalents of SAM to SAH, which is regenerated by the SAM salvage cycle comprising enzymes SahH, MetS and SamS (red box). Adenosine liberated by SahH is phosphorylated by AdoK to yield AMP. Together with ADP, AMP is regenerated to ATP by adenylate kinase (AdeK) and various enzymes of the primary metabolism (e.g., cytosolic glycolysis, mitochondrial respiratory chain and ATP synthase complex), or by use of polyphosphates (not shown).

the impact on psilocybin production due to the metabolic interplay of both enzymes is highlighted. Information on basidiomycete primary metabolism is scanty, and neither enzyme has genetically or biochemically been characterized for any basidiomycete, that is, a division of 30000 species. Given the poorly studied interface of primary and secondary metabolism in basidiomycetes, this study has pilot character beyond psilocybin biosynthesis.

Results and Discussion

Gene expression analysis

The genes encoding adenosine kinase (*adoK*) and the SAM salvage pathway (*sahH*, *metS*, *samS*) were identified in the *P. cubensis* genome^[4a] using the genes of experimentally proven enzymes from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* or *Homo sapiens* as query (Table 1). First, an expression analysis was carried out from fungal mycelium derived from submerse (shake flasks) and

Gene	Length [bp]/(number of introns)	CDS [bp] ^[b]	Gene product ^[c]	Gene locus ^[4a]
adoK ^[a]	1426/5	1041	adenosine kinase	NODE_5658: 141,215-142,640
sahH ^[a]	1919/10	1290	S-adenosyl-∟-homocysteine hydrolase	NODE_599: 19,244-21,162
metS	2709/7	2295	L-methionine synthetase	NODE_7121: 231,799-234,507
samS	1449/5	1182	S-adenosyl-L-methionine synthetase	NODE 6392: 312,966-314,414

[a] Sequences were deposited in the NCBI GenBank under accession numbers MN380460 (*adoK*) and MN380461 (*sahH*). [b] CDS: coding sequence excluding introns, which were verified for *adoK* and *sahH*, and bioinformatically predicted for *metS* and *samS*. [c] Experimentally verified for AdoK and SahH, predicted for MetS and SamS.



Figure 1. Expression analysis by qRT-PCR and psilocybin amount from emerse or submerse mycelium, primordia and carpophores from *P. cubensis*. A) Expression analysis of genes of the psilocybin biosynthetic pathway (*psiD*, *psiH*, *psiK*, *psiM*), the SAM salvage pathway (*sahH*, *metS*, *samS*) and AdoK (*adoK*). *actA* (encoding α -actin) and *mtdA* (peptide transporter) served as internal non-regulated or carpophore-specific gene controls, respectively. Transcript levels are expressed in ratios relative to the non-induced calibrator cDNA derived from submerse mycelium (set to 1). The housekeeping gene *gpdA* (for glycerinaldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase) served as internal reference. B) Psilocybin content and photographs from *P. cubensis* of various differential stages. Red arrows indicate nascent primordia.

emerse cultures (agar plates) as well as from fruiting body primordia and mature carpophores (Figure 1 A).

The genes *psiD*, *psiH*, *psiK*, *psiM* as well as genes for tryptophan synthase (*trpB*),^[13] adenosine kinase (*adoK*) and the SAM salvage cycle (*sahH*, *samS*, *metS*) were tested.

A differential stage specific gene, *mtdA*, was included to verify the switch from asexual to sexual lifestyle. Its homolog *mtd1*, encoding a putative peptide transporter in the mushroom *Schizophyllum commune*, was previously characterized as carpophore-specific marker gene.^[14] As expected, *mtdA* was induced in primordia and in fruiting bodies of *P. cubensis*, but not in undifferentiated mycelium (Figure 1 A). The *trpB* gene is sixfold upregulated in emerse mycelium and during mating which is consistent with an increased L-tryptophan demand during psilocybin biosynthesis. In contrast, the psilocybin bio-synthetic gene cluster (*psiD*, *psiH*, *psiK*, *psiM*) was only poorly expressed in any tested mycelial stage, but its transcription was induced during fruiting body formation which is consistent. tent with previous observations.^[15] The gene for the gateway enzyme PsiD is most upregulated (395-fold in primordia relative to submerse mycelium). However, except for psiK, expression of the psi genes is threefold downregulated in mature carpophores relative to primordia suggesting that the main biosynthetic performance occurs during the primordial stage. Moreover, all genes involved in the SAM salvage pathway including adoK and sahH were upregulated five- to 16-fold in primordia and mature fruiting bodies. These results pointed to a correlation of the SAM cycle activity and psilocybin production and matched the high psilocybin content found in primordia and fruiting bodies (0.4 g g⁻¹ dry biomass), but not in mycelia (Figure 1B). Similar developmental stage-specific production rates were observed for α - and β -amanitin in Amanita exitialis. In this species, the toxin concentrations in the mycelium were only about 10% of those in fruiting bodies.^[16] Co-expression of adoK and sahH with the psi gene cluster under psilocybinproducing conditions suggested that both enzymes might be beneficial for psilocybin production. Hence, we recombinantly produced and biochemically characterized P. cubensis AdoK and SahH (Figure S1 in the Supporting Information).

Biochemical characterization of AdoK

Heterologous gene expression yielded a 36.9 kDa monomeric protein, according to size exclusion chromatography (Figure S2) which is a typical feature of eukaryotic AdoKs.^[17] Enzymatic activity was measured with a coupled fluorometric assay. Optimal turnover was detected at 42 $^\circ\text{C}$ and between pH 7.5– 8.5 (Figure S3). These values are similar to wheat AdoK and to that of the parasite Toxoplasma gondii, which were optimally active at 37 °C.^[18] The observed slightly alkaline pH optimum correlates with previously described activities of AdoK from plants, but contrasts mammalian enzymes which prefer acidic reaction conditions.^[19] Mg²⁺ is essential for AdoK's activity with a broad optimal concentration ranging from 0.5 to 5 mм (Figure S4). With the exception of Zn²⁺, various divalent cations can replace Mg^{2+} at <5 mm to a different extent ($Mn^{2+}>$ $Mg^{2+} > Co^{2+} \gg Ca^{2+} > Zn^{2+}$; Figure 2A). A supportive effect of Mn^{2+} has been described for AdoKs isolated from human placenta, Lupinus luteus seeds or the protist Leishmania donovani.^[19b,20] However, Mn²⁺ inhibits P. cubensis AdoK activity at concentrations > 1 mM.

In hamster AdoK, Mg^{2+} interacts with asparagine (N239) and glutamate (E242) within a highly conserved NXXE motif,^[21] which is present in all as yet characterized AdoK enzymes including *Psilocybe* AdoK. We generated a mutant gene for an AdoK variant in which this particular glutamate residue (E222) was replaced by alanine (AdoK_{E222A}). This amino acid exchange resulted in an inactive enzyme, regardless of the cation concentration (Figure S4).

Monovalent cations had minor effects on enzyme activity. A cooperative effect of K^+ in the binding ATP binding pocket has been described for mammalian AdoKs.^[20b,22] In the case of *P. cubensis* AdoK, K^+ —but not Na⁺ or Li⁺—slightly stimulated activity (up to 140%) at 10 mm, but were not essential for activity (Figure S5). In the crystal structure of murine AdoK, K^+



Figure 2. Characterization of *P. cubensis* AdoK. A) Impact of divalent metal cations on AdoK activity. Mn^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Co^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , and Zn^{2+} were tested between 0.1 and 5 mm. Values are given in ratios relative to activity at 5 mm MgCl₂. B) Michaelis–Menten kinetics for Ado. C) Michaelis–Menten kinetics for ATP. D) Substrate specificity of AdoK at 0.1–1000 μ m. Ado, adenosine; N^6 -(Δ^2 -isopentenyl)adenosine.

is retained by amino acid residues D310, N312, I346, G351, and R349, which form an anion hole.^[22] An according mutant of *Psilocybe* AdoK lacking the potential K⁺ binding aspartate (AdoK_{D294A}) was generated. It did not show turnover, independent of the K⁺ or Mg²⁺ concentration (Figures S4 and S6), suggesting a similar involvement of K⁺ in AdoK activity.

Sequence alignment with experimentally characterized representatives from various species revealed that P. cubensis AdoK clusters with the sole characterized fungal AdoK from baker's yeast S. cerevisiae and, more distantly, with those of the protists L. donovani and T. gondii (Figure S7). While most, if not all, mammalian and plant AdoKs are inhibited by Ado (at fiveto 20-fold $K_{\rm M}$) by a negative feedback mechanism,^[19b, d, 23] the above-mentioned evolutionary branch comprises AdoKs, the activity of which is not or only slightly impaired by excess Ado.^[19b, 24] We next investigated the kinetic properties of AdoK. It followed a Michaelis-Menten type response, with a $K_{\rm M} =$ 33.6 μ M for Ado, the k_{cat} was 1.4×10^3 s⁻¹ (Figure 2B, Table 2). The $K_{\rm M}$ value for AdoK's second substrate ATP was 11.6 μ M (Figure 2C), which is lower than values obtained for human, plant, and other fungal representatives (200–2000 μ M),^[19b,d,24b] although, enzymes with $K_{\rm M}$ values of $< 0.05 \ \mu {\rm M}$ (human erythrocytes, wheat germs) have been reported.[18a, 19b]

We then interrogated *P. cubensis* AdoK's substrate specificity. The enzyme was active with Ado but not with other naturally

Table 2. Kinetic parameters of P. cubensis AdoK and SahH.							
Enzyme	Substrate	<i>К</i> _м [µм]	$k_{\rm cat} [{\rm s}^{-1}]$	$k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm M} [{\rm M}^{-1} {\rm s}^{-1}]$			
AdoK	Ado	33.6	1.4×10^{3}	4.2×10 ⁷			
	ATP	11.6	1.4×10^{3}	1.2×10 ⁸			
SahH	SAH	57.2	0.2	4.1×10^{3}			
	Ado	56.7	1.8	3.1×10^{4}			
	HCy	19.8	1.8	9.1×10^{4}			

occurring nucleosides, such as inosine, uridine, guanosine, and thymidine (Figure S8), which reflects the substrate profile of other plant, fungal, and mammalian AdoKs.^[20a, 24b, 25] None of the tested nucleosides severely repressed enzymatic activity, even when present in 100-fold excess over Ado (10 mm vs. 100 μm, Figure S8). In contrast to various bacterial, plant, or other eukaryotic AdoKs,^[19b,d,26] the *Psilocybe* enzyme is not inhibited by Ado at 500 μm (Figure 2B). The enzyme also phosphorylated the cytokinin precursor N^6 -(Δ^2 -isopentenyl)adenosine, a zeatin derivative, as demonstrated both in our fluorometric assay and by activity testing by UHPLC–MS (Figures 2D and S9). Phosphorylation of plant hormones by AdoKs has been demonstrated for plant AdoKs from *Physcomitrella* and *Arabidopsis*.^[19b] The psilocybin congeners 4-hydroxytryptamine and psilocin did not serve as substrates (Figure 2D).



Figure 3. Characterization of *P. cubensis* SahH. Michaelis–Menten kinetics of SahH A) in hydrolytic direction (SAH as substrate), and synthetic direction with B) Ado or C) HCy as substrates. D) Impact of free NAD⁺ on SahH activity. Catalytic activity in samples not supplemented with NAD⁺ is probably due to carry-over of enzyme-bound co-substrate from the heterologous protein production host.

Biochemical characterization of SahH

Heterologous production of P. cubensis SahH yielded a 46.8 kDa protein. Size-exclusion chromatography indicated a homotetramer (Figure S2) which was previously found as well for other SAH proteins.^[10] SAH hydrolysis was assayed by detecting the free thiol group of the product L-homocysteine, using Ellman's reagent in a colorimetric assay.^[9b] SahH catalyzed the hydrolysis of SAH in HCy and Ado (Figure 3 A). Similar to our findings for AdoK, SahH is thermostable up to 50°C, with an optimum turnover at 34 °C (Figure S10). Thermal stability is a common feature of SahH enzymes ^[27] and is most likely caused by the cofactor-binding C-terminal helix-18.^[10] This helix is critical for NAD⁺ association via K426 and Y430 in the structures of human and Trypanosoma SahH^[10] and is present in all characterized eukaryotic SahHs including P. cubensis SahH. Activity of >90% was detected in a range between pH 5.5 and 7.5, yet rapidly dropped above pH 8.0 (Figure S10). Optimum turnover was at pH 7.0-7.5. We further determined catalytic parameters of SahH in hydrolytic and synthetic direction (Figure 3A-C). All substrates are accepted with similar affinity, according to their $K_{\rm M}$ values (Table 2). $K_{\rm M}$ values for the hydrolytic direction are slightly above the values obtained from other characterized enzymes $(1-21 \,\mu\text{M})$.^[28] The k_{cat} values are in a similar range as previously published enzymes ($k_{cat} =$ 0.2 s⁻¹ (for SAH, hydrolytic direction), $k_{cat} = 1.8 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Ado, HCy, synthetic direction).^[29] Most importantly, catalytic efficiency (k_{cat}/K_{M}) for Ado or HCy is 8- or 22-fold higher than for SAH, respectively, suggesting that 1) SAH synthesis might be the kinetically favored reaction, at least in vitro, and, conversely, 2) SAH hydrolysis requires the activity of Ado removing enzymes, such as AdoK.

The crystal structures of rat and Plasmodium SahH identified a histidine (H54) as essential for SAH binding at the ribose moiety and to abstract a proton from ribose at C-4' prior to elimination of HCy.^[9a, 30] We mutated the codon for H51, that is, the equivalent residue in P. cubensis SahH, into an alanine codon (Sah H_{H51A}). The in vitro activity assay showed a severely impaired catalytic capacity (<5% of native SahH activity, Figure S11). The co-substrate NAD⁺ binds noncovalently to the enzyme and is essential for its activity (Figure 3D). Mechanistically, NAD⁺ first oxidizes the 3'-OH group of SAH to facilitate efficient hydrolysis of SAH and the release of HCy. After addition of a water molecule to the remaining 3'-keto-4',5'-dihydroadenosine, the final reduction of the 3'-keto group requires NADH+H⁺ to give Ado.^[31] Previous works suggested the Cterminal lysine K425 (from the adjacent subunit) to properly position the nucleoside moiety of NAD⁺ via 2'-OH and 3'-OH.^[9a, 11] We verified the presumed NAD⁺ binding site^[9a] in *Psi*locybe AdoK. A variant carrying an alanine at the C-terminal NAD⁺ binding site, rather than the canonical NAD⁺-binding lysine (K424 in P. cubensis SahH), was created, heterologously produced, and tested for activity in vitro. Independent of the NAD $^+$ concentration, SahH_{\rm K424A} is virtually catalytically inactive (Figure S12).

SAH hydrolysis is strongly inhibited by elevated Ado concentrations and—to a lower extent—by tenfold excess of Ade over the SAH substrate (Figure S13). Feedback-inhibition by adenosine derivatives has been described for several SahH enzymes.^[12,32] However, the enzyme's activity is not product-inhibited by AMP (Figure S13). As Ado is released during SAH hydrolysis, we reasoned that turnover by SahH may be increased when its product Ado is removed by AdoK-mediated phosphorylation of Ado to AMP. A one-pot enzymatic assay with SahH and AdoK showed a 2.5-fold increased HCy release by SahH in an ATP-concentration dependent manner (Figure S14). AdoK itself is not inhibited by high Ado concentrations (Figure 2A), which allows for efficient conversion from SAH via Ado to AMP.

Adenosine metabolite processing increases in vitro psilocybin production

A biocatalytic in vitro route for psilocybin production from 4hydroxy-L-tryptophan was proposed and includes a threeenzyme, one-pot reaction, using PsiD, PsiK, and PsiM.^[4a] The iterative methylation of norbaeocystin to psilocybin (Figure 4A) by PsiM is thought to be a rate-limiting critical step since *N*methyltransferases are comparably slow enzymes with k_{cat} values ranging between 0.12 h⁻¹ to 0.58 s⁻¹.^[33] Previous studies demonstrated increased psilocybin yields, when *Escherichia coli* SAH nucleosidase and adenine deaminase were added to the PsiM reaction to irreversibly remove SAH from the chemical equilibrium.^[33d] Hence, we hypothesized SAH could inhibit PsiM and ran assays in the presence of SAH, ranging between 1–16 mM. SAH competitively inhibited PsiM's activity in a concentration-dependent manner (Figures 4A and B).

We further calculated the PsiM-mediated production rates of the monomethylated product baeocystin in presence of SahH and AdoK. When solely SahH was added to the PsiM reaction, a threefold increase of enzymatic norbaeocystin methylation was observed (Figure 4C). However, when both AdoK and SahH were present, a fivefold increase of product formation was detected indicating that the chemical equilibrium of SAH hydrolysis is on the side of SAH.^[11] Yet, the hydrolytic reaction is preferred in the presence of the SAH-degrading activity of AdoK. The robust supporting effect of AdoK/SahH was likewise evident in the presence of inhibitory amounts of SAH (Figure 4C). Interestingly, a simple two-enzyme reaction with AdoK and PsiM elevated the norbaeocystin levels 2.5-fold. We correlated this to a spontaneous hydrolysis of released SAH,^[8] which may be enhanced by downstream AdoK activity. In sum, this report provides evidence that 1) the genes of the SAM salvage cycle are co-expressed with the psilocybin biosynthetic gene cluster and 2) SahH and AdoK can support the final step of psilocybin biosynthesis in vitro.



Figure 4. Impact of SAH, SahH and AdoK on PsiM-mediated methylation reactions. A) Methylation reaction of norbaeocystin during psilocybin biosynthesis. B) Inhibited methylation in the presence of SAH. Relative metabolite amounts are calculated as fractions relative to the total amount of indole alkaloids. C) Impact of SahH and AdoK on baeocystin production. Reactions were carried out in absence and presence of inhibiting SAH at concentrations of 1 and 2 mM.

Conclusion

Psilocybin has entered clinical trials as low side effect medication against depression. Its simple four-step enzymatic biosynthetic route requires continuous recycling of ATP and SAM to reach high metabolite titers. AdoK and SahH are critical players in the psilocybin pathway and their genes are expressed in *Psilocybe* fruiting bodies. SahH is required to efficiently remove SAH, a competitive inhibitor of the PsiM-mediated methylation reaction. The strong product inhibition of SahH by Ado is in turn bypassed by the activity of AdoK. This close enzymatic interplay of PsiM, SahH, and AdoK allows for the efficient methyl transfer to norbaeocystin which completes psilocybin biosynthesis. This report provides insight into the primary/secondary metabolism interface in *P. cubensis* but also suggests novel strategies to improve yields for biocatalytic psilocybin production.

Experimental Section

Microbiological methods: *P. cubensis* FSU12407^[4a] was maintained on MEP (15 gL⁻¹ malt extract, 3 gL⁻¹ peptone, 18 gL⁻¹ agar) plates. To collect biomass from emerse cultures, *P. cubensis* was cul-

tivated for 7 days on MEP agar plates at 25 °C. For submerse cultures, the fungus was incubated in MEP liquid medium for 4 days at 25 °C and 140 rpm. Carpophore formation was induced as described.^[34] Mycelia were collected, shock-frozen in liquid nitrogen and lyophilized prior to RNA isolation or metabolite quantification.

RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and expression analysis: Fungal mycelium was lysed with 0.2 mm glass beads using a Fast Prep cell disruptor. RNA was isolated with the SV Total RNA Isolation System (Promega) using the manufacturer's protocol. RNA (1 mg) was reverse transcribed to cDNA by using the RevertAid RT kit (Thermo) using an anchored oligo-(dT)₁₈ primer. Expression analysis was carried out with an AnalytikJena qTower³ using the qPCR Mix Eva-Green (Bio&SELL) and primers with a minimum primer efficiency of 91% (Table S1, Figure S15). Amplification protocol: initial denaturation at 95 °C, 15 min followed by 40 cycles of amplification (95 °C, 15 s; 60 °C, 20 s; 72 °C, 20 s). A melting curve was obtained by heating from 60 to 95 °C. Genes encoding α-actin (*actA*), glycerinal-dehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*gpdA*) and enolase (*enoA*) served as internal housekeeping reference genes. Gene expression levels were determined by a described method.^[35]

Metabolite quantitation: Lyophilized fungal mass (0.2-1 g) was ground to a fine powder and extracted twice with 50 mL methanol (MeOH). The pooled fractions were evaporated to dryness and the residue was dissolved in 10 mL water. After defatting in 10 mL cyclohexane, 9 mL of the aqueous phase were lyophilized. The residue was dissolved in 4 mL water/acetonitrile (ACN, 99:1), filtered and subjected to UHPLC-MS. Analyses were carried out on an Agilent Infinity II 1290 instrument, equipped with a diode array and a mass detector (6130 guadrupole) and a Phenomenex Luna Omega polar C₁₈ column (100×2.1 mm, 1.6 µm). Eluent A was 0.1% formic acid in water, eluent B was ACN. The following gradient was applied: 0 min, 1% B; within 3 min to 10% B; within another min to 100% B; held at 100% B for 2 min. The flow rate was 0.5 mL min⁻¹ and the chromatogram was extracted at $\lambda = 280$ nm. Psilocybin, baeocystin and norbaeocystin were quantified against a calibration curve with respective authentic reference standards.

Heterologous protein production: The construction of plasmids encoding AdoK, SahH and their respective mutants, and oligonucleotide primers, are described in the Supporting Information, and Tables S1–S2. Production of the N-terminally His₆-tagged proteins was carried out in E. coli BL21 in 400 mL LB medium, supplemented with 50 μ g mL⁻¹ kanamycin, at 37 °C and 180 rpm. After reaching an OD_{600} of 0.6–0.8, the temperature was shifted to 16 °C, and gene expression was induced by adding 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-1thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). After 16 h, cells were harvested by centrifugation and the pellet was resuspended in binding buffer (50 mм NaH₂PO₄, 300 mм NaCl, 10 mм imidazole, pH 8.0). After cell lysis by a sonifier, the protein crude extract was centrifuged, and the cell-free supernatant was transferred to an equilibrated gravity affinity column with 1 mL Protino Ni²⁺-NTA resin. After incubation on ice for 30 min, the resin was washed with binding buffer containing increasing concentrations of imidazole (20 and 40 mm) and the proteins were eluted with binding buffer with 500 mm imidazole. The enzymes were re-buffered in reaction buffer (50 mм TRIS-HCl, 20 mм NaH₂PO₄, Triton X-100 0.01%, pH 7.8) by size exclusion chromatography (PD10, GE Healthcare). After supplementation with 10% glycerol, enzymes were stored at -80°C without loss of activity for up to 2 months. Protein production of PsiM was carried out as described.^[4a] For size-exclusion chromatography (SEC), the native and denatured (95 °C, 10 min) enzymes were re-buffered in phosphate buffer (10 mm sodium dihydrogen phosphate, 140 mm NaCl, pH 7.5). SEC was performed by FPLC on an Äkta Pure 25 instrument with a Superdex 200 increase 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare).

Enzymatic assays: AdoK activity was determined in kinase buffer (= reaction buffer + 10 mm MgCl₂) as described.^[22] To determine kinetic parameters, alternative substrates and activity of mutant proteins, a continuous assay was performed: 70 µL master mix (2 UmL⁻¹ horseradish peroxidase, 1 UmL⁻¹ pyruvate oxidase, 20 UmL⁻¹ pyruvate kinase, 300 µм phosphoenolpyruvate, 100 µм thiamine pyrophosphate, 10 μM flavin adenine dinucleotide, 35 μM AmplifluRed in kinase buffer) was mixed with 10 µL adenosine or alternative phosphate acceptors (0-1000 µm final concentration) and 10 µL ATP (0–100 µM final). The reaction was started by adding 10 µL (34 nm final) AdoK or AdoK mutants. Fluorescence detection was carried out in black 96-well plates at $\lambda_{ex} = 545$ nm and λ_{em} = 600 nm at 30 °C for 60 min in a ClarioStar plate reader (BMG Labtech). To determine temperature and pH optima, and metal cation dependence, a discontinuous assay was performed: ATP (200 μм), Ado (200 μм) and AdoK (34 nм) were mixed in kinase buffer, adjusted to pH 4-9 (in 50 mm acetate or TRIS buffer), or amended with divalent cations (0.01-5 mM CaCl₂, CoCl₂, MgSO₄, MnCl₂ or ZnAc₂) or monovalent cations (0.1–100 mм NaCl, KCl, LiCl). The reaction was incubated for 1, 5, or 30 min at 42 $^\circ\text{C}$ (for temperature optimum varied between 4-60 °C). The reactions were then placed on ice and the enzyme was removed by filtering through Sartorius VIVAspin 100 spin columns (10 kDa cutoff). The ADP concentration in a 20 µL aliquot of the enzyme-free flowthrough was determined by addition of 80 µL master mix as described above.

SahH activity was determined in hydrolase buffer (reaction buffer + 100 μ M NAD⁺). SAH hydrolysis was recorded by detecting free thiol groups of HCy, using Ellman's reagent (5,5'-dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid), DTNB) as described.^[9b] A continuous assay was used to determine activity, kinetic parameters, pH optimum, NAD⁺-dependence, and the activity of mutant proteins. The reactions contained 64 nm SahH, 200 μ M DTNB and 0–100 μ M SAH in hydrolase buffer and were recorded at λ_{abs} =412 nm in transparent 384-well plates at 34°C for 30 min. The temperature optimum was determined discontinuously: the reaction was incubated for 30 min at 4–60°C, briefly chilled on ice and filtered through a VIVAspin 100 column (10 kDa cutoff). The HCy concentration in a 100 μ L aliquot of the enzyme-free flow-through was determined at λ_{abs} =412 nm as described above.

PsiM reactions were performed in TRIS-HCI buffer (50 mm, pH 8.0) by quantitative detection of the products baeocystin and psilocybin by UHPLC-MS against calibrated authentic standards. The assay for SAH inhibition comprised 3 mm SAM, 1 mm norbaeocystin, 1 μ m PsiM, and SAH concentrations varied between 1 and 16 mm. The coupled enzymatic assay with PsiM, SahH and AdoK was carried out in the presence of inhibiting SAH concentrations (0–2 mm) to determine if the PsiM reaction increases. The assay was composed of 3 mm SAM, 2 mm SAH, 1 mm norbaeocystin, 6 mm ATP, 6 mm MgCl₂ and 100 μ m NAD⁺. In additional reactions, SahH (64 nm) and AdoK (34 nm) were added. Reactions were started by addition of 1 μ m PsiM. After incubation for 2 and 24 h at 25 °C, reaction mixtures were frozen and lyophilized. The residue was dissolved in 50 μ L MeOH and subjected to UHPLC/MS as described.^[4a]

Chemical synthesis and analysis of N^6 -(Δ^2 -isopentenyl)adenosine: The alternative AdoK substrate, N^6 -(Δ^2 -isopentenyl)adenosine was synthesized according to a published protocol in modified form.^[36] Details are given in the Supporting Information. The identi-

ChemBioChem 2020, 21, 1364-1371

ty of the compound was confirmed by NMR spectroscopy data (Table S3) that is compatible with previously published values.^[37]

Acknowledgements

We thank Jonas Wick and Julia Gressler (FSU, Jena) for providing the adoK expression vector pJG14 and Heike Heinecke (HKI, Jena) for recording NMR spectra. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG grant HO2515/7-1) and by the Usona Institute (Madison, WI, USA). D.H. is also supported by the DFG Collaborative Research Center ChemBioSys 1127 (project B05).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: adenosine kinase • enzymes • methylation • psilocybin • S-adenosylhomocysteine hydrolase

- a) A. Hofmann, R. Heim, A. Brack, H. Kobel, *Experientia* **1958**, *14*, 107–109; b) A. Hofmann, R. Heim, A. Brack, H. Kobel, A. Frey, H. Ott, T. Petrzilka, F. Troxler, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1959**, *42*, 1557–1572.
- [2] R. L. Carhart-Harris, L. Roseman, M. Bolstridge, L. Demetriou, J. N. Pannekoek, M. B. Wall, M. Tanner, M. Kaelen, J. McGonigle, K. Murphy, R. Leech, H. V. Curran, D. J. Nutt, *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 13187.
- [3] a) S. Agurell, J. L. Nilsson, Acta Chem. Scand. 1968, 22, 1210-1218; b) S. Agurell, J. L. Nilsson, Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 9, 1063-1064.
- [4] a) J. Fricke, F. Blei, D. Hoffmeister, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 12352–12355; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 12524–12527; b) H. T. Reynolds, V. Vijayakumar, E. Gluck-Thaler, H. B. Korotkin, P. B. Matheny, J. C. Slot, Evol. Lett. 2018, 2, 88–101.
- [5] J. Bigwood, M. W. Beug, J. Ethnopharmacol. 1982, 5, 287-291.
- [6] A. Kornberg, W. E. Pricer, Jr., J. Biol. Chem. 1951, 193, 481-495.
- [7] a) D. Fabbro, S. W. Cowan-Jacob, H. Moebitz, *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 2015, 172, 2675 2700; b) M. Camici, M. Garcia-Gil, M. G. Tozzi, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2018, 19, E784.
- [8] C. Liao, F. P. Seebeck, Nat. Catal. 2019, 2, 696-701.
- [9] a) Y. Hu, J. Komoto, Y. Huang, T. Gomi, H. Ogawa, Y. Takata, M. Fujioka, F. Takusagawa, *Biochemistry* 1999, *38*, 8323–8333; b) J. D. Lozada-Ramírez, I. Martínez-Martínez, A. Sánchez-Ferrer, F. García-Carmona, *J. Biochem. Biophys. Methods* 2006, *67*, 131–140.
- [10] S. Cai, Q. S. Li, J. Fang, R. T. Borchardt, K. Kuczera, C. R. Middaugh, R. L. Schowen, Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids 2009, 28, 485 503.
- [11] M. A. Turner, X. Yang, D. Yin, K. Kuczera, R. T. Borchardt, P. L. Howell, *Cell Biochem. Biophys.* 2000, 33, 101–125.
- [12] S. Hershfield, J. Biol. Chem. 1979, 254, 22-25.
- [13] F. Blei, F. Baldeweg, J. Fricke, D. Hoffmeister, Chem. Eur. J. 2018, 24, 10028-10031.
- [14] K. B. Lengeler, E. Kothe, Curr. Genet. 1999, 36, 159–164.
- [15] M. P. Torrens-Spence, C. T. Liu, T. Pluskal, Y. K. Chung, J. K. Weng, ACS Chem. Biol. 2018, 13, 3343–3353.
- [16] P. Zhang, Z. Chen, J. Hu, B. Wei, Z. Zhang, W. Hu, FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 2005, 252, 223–228.

- [17] K. Song, Y. Li, H. He, L. Liu, P. Zhao, Q. Xia, Y. Wang, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2019, 20, e3732.
- [18] a) C. M. Chen, R. L. Eckert, *Plant Physiol.* **1977**, *59*, 443–447; b) J. A. Darling, W. J. Sullivan, Jr., D. Carter, B. Ullman, D. S. Roos, *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* **1999**, *103*, 15–23.
- [19] a) R. L. Miller, D. L. Adamczyk, W. H. Miller, G. W. Koszalka, J. L. Rideout, L. M. Beacham, E. Y. Chao, J. J. Haggerty, T. A. Krenitsky, G. B. Elion, J. Biol. Chem. 1979, 254, 2346–2352; b) A. K. Datta, D. Bhaumik, R. Chatterjee, J. Biol. Chem. 1987, 262, 5515–5521; c) K. von Schwartzenberg, S. Kuse, R. Reski, B. Moffatt, M. Laloue, Plant J. 1998, 13, 249–257; d) B. A. Moffatt, L. Wang, M. S. Allen, Y. Y. Stevens, W. Qin, J. Snider, K. von Schwartzenberg, Plant Physiol. 2000, 124, 1775–1785.
- [20] a) A. Guranowski, H. Jakubowski, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Protein Struct. Mol. Enzymol.* **1983**, 742, 250–256; b) M. C. Hurley, B. Lin, I. H. Fox, *J. Biol. Chem.* **1985**, 260, 15675–15681.
- [21] M. C. Maj, B. Singh, R. S. Gupta, Biochemistry 2002, 41, 4059-4069.
- [22] R. R. de Oliveira, R. Morales-Neto, S. A. Rocco, M. L. Sforça, C. C. Polo, C. C. C. Tonoli, G. F. Mercaldi, A. T. Cordeiro, M. T. Murakami, K. G. Franchini, *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 11988.
- [23] a) Y. Yamada, H. Goto, N. Ogasawara, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1980, 616, 199–207; b) F. Faye, F. Le Floc'h, Plant Physiol. Biochem. 1997, 35, 15– 22.
- [24] a) D. Bhaumik, A. K. Datta, *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* **1988**, *28*, 181–188;
 b) P. Barrado, M. J. Rodriguez, A. Jimenez, M. F. Lobato, *Yeast* **2003**, *20*, 1145–1150;
 c) F. N. M. Naguib, R. H. Rais, O. N. Al Safarjalani, M. H. el Kouni, *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part B* **2015**, *188*, 63–69.
- [25] T. D. Palella, C. M. Andres, I. H. Fox, J. Biol. Chem. 1980, 255, 5264-5269.
- [26] M. C. Long, V. Escuyer, W. B. Parker, J. Bacteriol. 2003, 185, 6548-6555.
- [27] M. Nakanishi, A. Iwata, C. Yatome, Y. Kitade, J. Biochem. 2001, 129, 101– 105.
- [28] a) X. Yang, R. T. Borchardt, Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 2000, 383, 272-280;
 b) A. Singhal, G. Arora, A. Sajid, A. Maji, A. Bhat, R. Virmani, S. Upadhyay,
 V. K. Nandicoori, S. Sengupta, Y. Singh, Sci. Rep. 2013, 3, 2264.
- [29] V. Čtrnáctá, F. Stejskal, J. S. Keithly, I. Hrdý, FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 2007, 273, 87–95.
- [30] N. Tanaka, M. Nakanishi, Y. Kusakabe, K. Shiraiwa, S. Yabe, Y. Ito, Y. Kitade, K. T. Nakamura, J. Mol. Biol. 2004, 343, 1007–1017.
- [31] J. D. Lozada-Ramírez, A. Sánchez-Ferrer, F. García-Carmona, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2012, 93, 2317–2325.
- [32] R. C. Knudsen, I. Yall, J. Bacteriol. 1972, 112, 569-575.
- [33] a) A. Vit, L. Misson, W. Blankenfeldt, F. P. Seebeck, *ChemBioChem* 2015, 16, 119–125; b) L. Misson, R. Burn, A. Vit, J. Hildesheim, M. A. Beliaeva, W. Blankenfeldt, F. P. Seebeck, *ACS Chem. Biol.* 2018, 13, 1333–1342; c) H. Song, N. S. van der Velden, S. L. Shiran, P. Bleiziffer, C. Zach, R. Sieber, A. S. Imani, F. Krausbeck, M. Aebi, M. F. Freeman, S. Riniker, M. Künzler, J. H. Naismith, *Sci. Adv.* 2018, *4*, eaat2720; d) J. Fricke, A. Sherwood, R. Kargbo, A. Orry, F. Blei, A. Naschberger, B. Rupp, D. Hoffmeister, *ChemBioChem* 2019, 20, 2824–2829.
- [34] C. Lenz, J. Wick, D. Hoffmeister, J. Nat. Prod. 2017, 80, 2835-2838.
- [35] M. W. Pfaffl, Nucleic Acids Res. 2001, 29, e45.
- [36] V. Pačes, E. Werstiuk, R. H. Hall, *Plant Physiol.* 1971, 48, 775-778.
- [37] S. Casati, A. Manzocchi, R. Ottria, P. Ciuffreda, Magn. Reson. Chem. 2010, 48, 745-748.

Manuscript received: October 25, 2019 Accepted manuscript online: December 4, 2019 Version of record online: January 10, 2020

ChemBioChem 2020, 21, 1364-1371