

clinical pharmacology of GABA-mimetics has been slowly developing, largely because of the antiepileptic properties of GABA agonists. GABA-mimetics have relatively potent antidyskinetic actions in tardive dyskinesia⁹ and may have antidepressant action. Perhaps these potential clinical applications will encourage additional pharmaceutical development in the area of GABA-mimetics. Then, we can anticipate more GABA-mimetic tools for GABA studies. Systematic studies to explore the putative role of GABA in depression are needed to address this therapeutic potential further and uncover its biologic correlates.

GUNVANT K. THAKER, MD
 MARIANNE MORAN, RN, MA
 CAROL A. TAMMINGA, MD
 University of Maryland
 Maryland Psychiatric Research
 Center
 Baltimore, MD 21228

1. Heninger GR, Charney DS. Mechanism of action of antidepressant treatments: implications for etiology and treatment of depressive disorders. In: Meltzer HY, ed. *Psychopharmacology: The Third Generation of Progress*. New York, NY: Raven Press; 1987:535-544.

2. Lloyd KG, Morselli PL, Depoortere H, Fournier V, Zivkovic B, Scatton B, Broekkamp C, Worms P, Bartholini G. The potential use of GABA agonists in psychiatric disorders: evidence from studies with progabide in animal models and clinical trials. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav*. 1983;18:957-966.

3. Morselli PL, Henry JF, Macher JH, Bottin P, Huber JB, van Landeghen VH. Progabide and mood. In: Perris C, Struwe G, Jansson, eds. *Biological Psychiatry*. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Elsevier Science Publishers; 1981:440-443.

4. Chabannes JP, Baro P, Lambert PA, Decade P, Musch B. Antidepressant activity of fengabine (SL 79229): results from an open pilot study. In: Bartholini G, Lloyd KG, Morselli PL, eds. *GABA and Mood Disorders: Experimental and Clinical Research*. New York, NY: Raven Press; 1986:139-146.

5. Petty F, Sherman AD. GABAergic modulation of learned helplessness. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav*. 1981;15:567-570.

6. Gerner RH, Hare TA. CSF GABA in normal subjects and patients with depression, schizophrenia, mania and anorexia nervosa. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1981;138:1098-1101.

7. Scatton B, Nishikawa T, Dennis T, Dedek J, Curet O, Zivkovic B, Lloyd KG. GABAergic modulation of central noradrenergic and serotonergic neuronal activity. In: Bartholini G, Lloyd KG, Morselli PL, eds. *GABA and Mood Disorders: Experimental and Clinical Research*. New York, NY: Raven Press; 1986:67-76.

8. Lloyd KG, Thuret F, Pilc A. GABA and mechanism of action of antidepressant drugs. In: Bartholini G, Lloyd KG, Morselli PL, eds. *GABA and Mood Disorders: Experimental and Clinical Research*. New York, NY: Raven Press; 1986:33-42.

9. Thaker GK, Tamminga CA, Alphs LD, Lafferan J, Ferraro TN, Hare TA. Brain γ -aminobutyric acid abnormality in tardive dyskinesia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1987;44:522-529.

Second Thoughts on 3,4-Methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA) Neurotoxicity

To the Editor.—Recent attention has been drawn to the purported neurotoxic dangers associated with 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA). Price et al¹ have attempted to assess possible serotonergic neurotransmitter damage by contrasting serum prolactin response to the challenge with intravenous L-tryptophan in subjects with a history of MDMA use vs control subjects. Their primary finding was a blunted rise in the expected serum prolactin level in MDMA users, but not to a statistically significant degree. The importance of this finding appears to be questionable and perhaps misleading. Even if the data had yielded a statistically significant result, would such a correlation necessarily imply causation?

A methodological limitation to the study would appear to be that subjects were not adequately screened on selection to exclude those who were using other psychotropic drugs. There is no mention that toxicology screens were ever performed. In fact, three subjects (33%) admitted to marijuana use during the 3-week supposed drug-free interval prior to the testing. As marijuana is known to affect dopaminergic function (and, consequently, prolactin secretion),² the implications for MDMA effect on serotonergic function are further questioned. One additional point regarding this study is that even if one could demonstrate that MDMA users had diminished serotonergic function compared with control subjects, what does this imply? Without data as to baseline serotonergic functioning prior to the first ingestion of MDMA, such findings are of limited significance.

Numerous animal studies have been performed over the past several years that were designed to evaluate the neurotoxic potential of MDMA. Until recently, most have examined short-term degeneration of serotonin neurons in animal brain following repeated systemic administration of MDMA. Battaglia et al³ have examined the brains of rats treated with massive subcutaneous dosages of MDMA (cumulatively up to 100 times the usual human oral dose) over time, and have noted complete regeneration 1 year after administration of the drug. This, together with the fact that there have yet to be documented clinical cases of MDMA-induced serotonergic neurotoxicity (ie, there have been no reports of sleep, mood, appe-

tite, aggressive, or sexual dysregulation), may indicate that concerns over long-term neuropsychiatric damage have been overstated.

The related controversy over fenfluramine hydrochloride has some relevance here. During the last 25 years, approximately 50 million people have been clinically treated with fenfluramine.⁴ Fenfluramine has been utilized primarily as a weight-reducing agent and has also been used in clinical trials for the treatment of infantile autism and childhood attention-deficit disorder with hyperactivity. However, animal studies have demonstrated that fenfluramine has a serotonergic neurotoxic capability three times that of MDMA.⁵ Yet despite such findings, fenfluramine has accepted clinical indications, has a history of widespread use, and is without the known induction of neurological side effects.

Claims have been made that MDMA enhances the processes of psychotherapy by facilitating empathy, heightening introspection, and lowering defensive anxiety.⁶ Because of concerns of possible neurotoxicity, however, rigorous clinical trials designed to validate these claims have not been performed. The data reviewed suggest that fears of MDMA neurotoxicity may have been exaggerated and it may well be significantly less toxic than a very widely used medication, fenfluramine. In view of its purported unique psychoactive properties, it may be appropriate to pursue clinical trials of MDMA. Alternatively, the search for a nontoxic analogue should be encouraged.

CHARLES GROB, MD
 GARY BRAVO, MD
 ROGER WALSH, MD, PhD
 University of California,
 Irvine Medical Center
 Department of Psychiatry
 and Human Behavior
 101 City Dr S Rte 88
 Orange, CA 92668

1. Price LH, Ricaurte GA, Krystal JH, Heninger GR. Neuroendocrine and mood responses to intravenous L-tryptophan in 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA) users. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1989;46:20-22.

2. Markianos M, Stefanis C. Effects of acute cannabis use and short-term deprivation on plasma prolactin and dopamine- β -hydroxylase in long-term users. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 1982;9:251-255.

3. Battaglia G, Yeh SY, DeSouza EB. MDMA-induced neurotoxicity: parameters of degeneration and recovery of brain serotonin neurons. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav*. 1987;29:269-274.

4. Derome-Tremblay M, Nathan C. Fenfluramine studies. *Science*. 1989;243:991.

5. Barnes DM. Neurotoxicity creates regulatory dilemma. *Science*. 1989;243:29-30.

6. Grinspoon L, Bakalar JB. Can drugs be used to enhance the psychotherapeutic process? *Am J Psychother*. 1986;40:393-404.